IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

FRIDAY, THE 1ST JUNE 2007 / 11TH JYAISHTA 1929

CRP.No. 2454 of 1999(H)

AGAINST THE ORDER DT.16.7.1999 IN SR.204/1973 /KLM/A7, of TALUK LAND BOARD, KOTHAMANGALAM .

.....

REVN. PETITIONERS:

1. **P.V.MATHEW, AGED 69, SON OF VARGHESE, PERUMBILLICHIRA, KOTHAMANGALAM.**
2. **SANTHA MATHEW, WIFE OF P.V.MATHEW, PERUMBILLICHIRA , KOTHAMANGALAM.**

BY ADV. SRI.T.K.VENUGOPALAN
SRI.SHIBU JOSEPH

RESPONDENTS:

1. **THE TALUK LAND BAORD, KOTHAMANGALAM.**
2. **THE TAHSILDAR, KOTHAMANGALAM.**
3. **THE STATE OF KERALA, REP.BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

*** ADDL.R4 TO R8 ARE IMPLEADED**

4. **JOHNY MATHEW, ARAMBANKUDY, NADUKANI, KEERAMPARA VILLAGE, KOTHAMANGALAM TALUK.**
5. **ANNI JOHNY, WIFE OF JOHNY MATHEW, ARAMBANKUDY , NADUKANI, KEERAMPARA VILLAGE, KOTHAMANGALAM.**
6. **JOSE MATHEW, SON OF P.V.MATHEW, PERUMBILLICHIRA, KOTHAMANGALAM, REP.BY HOS BROTHER AND POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER JOY MATHEW, SON OF P.V.MATHEW, PERUMBILLICHIRA, KOTHAMANGALAM.**

**7. TOMY MATHEW, ARAMBANKUDY, NADUKANI,
KEERAMPARA VILLAGE,
KOTHAMANGALAM TALUK.**

**8. LEELAMMA TOMY, ARAMBANKUDY, NADUKANI,
KEERAMPARA VILLAGE, KOTHAMANGALAM TALUK.**

*** ARE IMPLEADED AS ADDL.R4 TO R8 AS PER ORD DT.19.3.07 ON IA. NO.62/04.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SHYSON P. MANGUZHA – R1 TO R3
BY ADV. SRI.P.VISWANATHAN – ADDL. R4 TO R8.

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 01/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

C.R.P. No. 2454 OF 1999

Dated this the 1st day of June, 2007

O R D E R

Heard both sides. It is seen that the Taluk Land Board has virtually set aside the order impugned in this revision by subsequent order No.A7-SR-204/73/KLM dt.25.02.03 as corrected by order No.A7-SR-204/73/KLM dt.02.07.03. In view of the above subsequent orders, the order impugned in this revision petition is set aside and the issues are to be reconsidered and fresh decision to be taken by the Taluk Land Board. It is needless to mention that the Taluk Land Board while taking fresh decision will have due regard to the impact of the amendments introduced to Land Reforms Act by Act 21 of 2006 particularly the newly inserted Section 7E and the amendments made to Section 84.

The Civil Revision Petition is allowed. No costs.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt